

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,719	01/03/2002	Thomas E. Creamer	BOC9-2000-0061 (196)	8949	
40987	7590 11/30/2006		EXAMINER		
AKERMAN SENTERFITT P. O. BOX 3188			SWEARINGEN, JEFFREY R		
	BEACH, FL 33402-318	88	ART UNIT	. PAPER NUMBER	
			2145		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/038,719	CREAMER ET AL					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jeffrey R. Swearingen	2145					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICED FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMU	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this or NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 s	September 2006.						
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-18,20-25,28-30 and 32-37</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-18,20-25,28-30 and 32-36</u> is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>37</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		·		,				
	,							
Attachmen	τ(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Thenview Su	mmary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	Mail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application -					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendments have overcome the rejections to claims 1-7, 9-18, 20-25, 28-30, and 32-36. Applicant failed to make any amendments or arguments concerning claim 37, and its rejection from Wu stands.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-18, 20-25, 28-30, and 32-36 are allowed.
- 3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: No instant messaging system in the prior art was located that included compiled object code to instantiate a voice communications link between an IM sender and a recipient. Prior art IM systems used a scripting program such as JavaScript, which required compiling upon downloading to the machine hosting said IM interface. Sending precompiled object code as an embedded attachment obviates this step, and is novel over the prior art.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant should change "compile object code" to "compiled object code". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,719

Art Unit: 2145

6. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu (U.S. Pub. No. 2002.0023131).

7. In regard to claim 37, Wu disclosed:

a conventional IM processor, said conventional IM processor extracting and displaying message text encapsulated in a received IM; and,

Page 3

a voice conference processor, said voice conference processor identifying a voice conference identifier encapsulated in said received IM, displaying at least one selectable icon in response to detecting said voice conference identifier, and responsive to a selection of said at least one selectable icon, executing a software program that establishes a voice conference call between selected conference call nodes specified by said voice conference identifier, wherein said voice conference call occurs over a telephony-based voice communication link.

Wu disclosed the initiation of a peer-to-peer audio conferencing session over instant messenger based upon user selection of a "Start Talk" UI button. See paragraphs 0066-0075.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

9. Hackbarth et al.

US 7,107,312 B2

10. Narayanaswamy

US 6,938,069 B1

11. Sollee et al.

US 6,757,732 B1

12. Parker et al.

US 6,677,976 B2

- 13. Nardi, Bonnie et al. "Interaction and Outeraction: Instant Messaging in Action." <u>Proceedings of the 2000 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work.</u> December 2000. Philadelphia, PA. ACM Press, pp. 79-88.
- 14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2145

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Swearingen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Jason Cardone can be reached on 571-272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from
either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through
Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should
you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)
at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative
or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-2721000.

Jason Cardone

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2145